ARTICLE 13 · LEADERSHIP SERIES · ESSAY V · HOSPITALITY

When You’re Responsible but Not in Control

A practical guide for hospitality managers held accountable for results without enough authority, and how to surface risk, clarify trade-offs, protect the team, and avoid becoming the invisible solution.

BY DAVID AMBROSE · NOVEMBER 1, 2026

Published by Workplace Today | November 2026 (abbreviated edition)

It’s Friday afternoon, and you already know how tonight is going to go. Two people called out. Mobile orders are piling up. The dining room is filling faster than tables can be cleared, and the piece of equipment you asked to have repaired three days ago is still not working.

You tell your boss you need help. “We’ll be fine.” You explain why you won’t be fine. “Just do your best.”

So you do. You jump on the line. You cover a break. You clear tables. You apologize to guests for waits you didn’t create. You stay late helping put the restaurant back together. Somehow, against all reasonable expectations, the shift survives.

And that’s when you realize the problem: You just proved your boss right.

This is one of the crueler contradictions of hospitality management. The better you become at rescuing a bad shift, the easier it becomes for someone above you to believe the shift never needed rescuing.

For shift supervisors, assistant managers and general managers, this creates an uncomfortable position. You have enough authority to be accountable for the result, but not always enough authority to change the conditions producing it. Your team is looking to you. Your boss is looking to you. The guest, rather inconsiderately, does not care who wrote the schedule.

So what do you do when you see the problem, raise it and still hear no?

First, Consider the Annoying Possibility That Your Boss Is Right

This seems like a terrible place to begin an article about your boss not listening, but stay with me.

Maybe you don’t need another person. Maybe the problem is deployment. Maybe someone needs more training. Maybe the shift is poorly organized. Maybe another manager routinely operates under similar conditions without the same problems.

Sometimes your team isn’t understaffed. Sometimes it isn’t performing particularly well. Adding another person to a badly run shift occasionally produces nothing more than a badly run shift with another person standing in it.

Before deciding that the problem exists above you, look honestly at what is within your control. Are the right people in the right positions? Have expectations been communicated? Is the team trained? Are you anticipating the rush or discovering it at approximately the same time as the guests?

If the answer is uncomfortable, good. You have found something you can fix. If you have examined those things and the same problem keeps returning, it is time to change the conversation.

Stop Repeating the Problem

If you have told your boss three times that you are understaffed, telling them a fourth time with greater emotional commitment probably will not unlock additional payroll.

Translate the problem into a decision.

Instead of saying, “We don’t have enough people tonight,” try: “With this staffing, I can keep service times where you want them or get everyone out on schedule, but I don’t think I can reliably do both. Which should I prioritize?”

Now there is something to answer.

Middle managers frequently inherit expectations that make perfect sense individually: reduce labor, maintain service times, keep the restaurant spotless, protect the guest experience, avoid overtime and make sure nobody stays late.

Wonderful. We would also like world peace and a dishwasher that never breaks.

The problem comes when all of those expectations collide during the same shift. When everything is the priority, ask which priority wins.

That is not complaining. That is managing.

Bring Evidence, Not Frustration

Your frustration may be completely justified. It is also difficult to put into a spreadsheet.

Track what is actually happening: when the rush began, how long guests waited, how many people were working, how late the close ran, whether breaks were delayed, how many complaints occurred and how often the same problem has happened.

There is a significant difference between saying, “These closes are impossible,” and saying, “Four of our last five closes ran more than 45 minutes over schedule when we had only three people after 8 p.m.”

One is frustration. The other is information.

Good managing upward often begins with learning how to turn what your team is experiencing into something the person above you can see.

Don't Pass the Pressure Down

Your boss cuts labor. You disagree. You raise the concern. The decision stands. Now your team is looking at you.

This is where pressure has a remarkable tendency to obey gravity. “Leadership says we have to reduce labor” becomes “I need everyone to move faster.” Soon a decision made several levels above the restaurant has somehow become an hourly employee’s emergency.

Apparently everyone can always move faster. This remains one of hospitality’s more durable economic theories.

Your job as a middle manager is not simply to forward pressure from one level of the organization to the next. You have to translate expectations into something your team can reasonably execute.

That does not mean lowering standards whenever things get difficult. Employees still need coaching. Performance still matters. Someone who consistently ignores expectations or leaves everyone else carrying the workload deserves honest feedback.

But there is a difference between holding someone accountable for their performance and holding them accountable for conditions they did not create.

Your team knows the difference. They certainly feel it.

Know When You Have Been Heard

There is another possibility middle managers sometimes struggle to accept: your boss heard you.

They understood your argument. They considered it. They still said no.

Being heard is not the same as getting your way.

The person above you may be dealing with a labor target you cannot see, pressure from their own boss, financial constraints you do not control or competing priorities across several locations. Middle management can feel lonely partly because nearly everyone involved believes the pressure originates somewhere else.

Sometimes the correct response is to disagree, execute the decision professionally and watch what happens. If the shift works, be willing to admit your boss may have been right. If it doesn't, resist the temptation to enjoy your vindication. You still have a restaurant to run.

What matters is whether the result was an exception or the beginning of a pattern.

When the Answer Comes With an Attitude

Sometimes the problem is not that your boss disagrees with you. It is how they disagree with you.

A dismissive comment, public embarrassment or unnecessarily rude response can make an already difficult situation worse. Resist the temptation to return the favor. Few careers have been improved by winning an argument spectacularly in front of witnesses.

Stay calm and bring the conversation back to the issue. If necessary, address the behavior privately and directly: "I'm comfortable being challenged on the decision. I'd rather we not speak to each other that way."

Apply the same standard to yourself. Stress travels downhill remarkably well. If you expect respect from the person above you, make sure the people below you are getting it from you.

Professional disagreement is one thing. Harassment, discrimination, threats or retaliation are another. Those are not communication problems you are expected to manage your way through. Document what happened and use the appropriate reporting or escalation channels.

Document Patterns, Not Grievances

Documentation should not be a secret file titled “Evidence That I Was Right.” Tempting, certainly. Useful, less so.

Document what the operation is telling you: dates, staffing levels, wait times, overtime, guest complaints, equipment failures, missed tasks and turnover.

One terrible Friday is a terrible Friday. Six terrible Fridays under the same conditions are information.

Then make the pattern actionable. “This happened again” is useful. “This happened again under the same staffing conditions, and here is what changed when we added coverage last Tuesday” is considerably harder to ignore.

The goal is not to prove that you were right. The goal is to give the person above you enough information to make a better decision.

Stop Being the Invisible Solution

There is nothing wrong with jumping on the line, staying late occasionally or doing whatever needs to be done when the restaurant gets unexpectedly crushed. That is hospitality. Some of the best managers I have known could move effortlessly between leading the operation and doing the least glamorous job in the building.

The danger begins when extraordinary effort becomes the operating model.

Being dependable can become a trap. You become the manager who always figures it out, so eventually everyone begins planning around the assumption that you always will.

“They can handle it” stops being a compliment and becomes a staffing model.

If you routinely cover multiple positions, stay late, absorb every staffing gap and personally rescue every difficult shift, you may be protecting tonight’s operation while hiding tomorrow’s problem. Your boss sees the sales. The guest eventually got the food. The restaurant closed. The labor report may even look wonderful.

What remains invisible is what it cost to make those numbers possible.

This does not mean deliberately allowing the restaurant to fail. Your guests and employees should not become props in an argument with your boss. It means doing your job well without quietly becoming the missing labor, missing system and missing support every single day.

There is a difference between stepping up when the operation needs you and becoming the reason an unsustainable operation continues to look sustainable.

The Uncomfortable Middle

Middle management in hospitality is an unusual place. To your employees, you are management. To the people above you, you are the person responsible for execution. You are close enough to the floor to see what decisions actually do to people, but often far enough from where those decisions are made that you cannot simply change them.

And that is exhausting in a way people outside the role do not always understand. You can spend an entire shift protecting your team from pressure above you while protecting the people above you from problems below. If you do it particularly well, neither side may ever realize how much translating, negotiating and rescuing happened in between.

That can make you feel powerless. You are not.

You may not control the labor budget. You may not be able to add another person to the schedule. You may not convince your boss that you are right. But you can make the problem visible.

Look honestly at your own operation first. Identify the problem. Quantify it. Explain the tradeoff. Ask what should take priority. Execute the decision professionally. Watch the result. Document the pattern. Escalate when the issue genuinely requires it.

And while you are doing all of that, protect the people below you from becoming the solution to every problem created above them.

That is one of the harder parts of management.

Then do your job well. Just remember where your job ends.

You are responsible for raising the alarm. You are not responsible for becoming the fire department.